UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,161	10/14/2005	Yasuaki Nagashima	05679/LH	9067
	7590 01/16/2008 OLTZ, GOODMAN & 0	EXAMINER		
220 Fifth Avenue 16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.2.7 10144,1			2828	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	01/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·					
Office Action Summary	10/553,161	NAGASHIMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of the	ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) .1-19 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-14-05, 12-1-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite			

Art Unit: 2828

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-11, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 6-11,

Applicant's use of the term "assuming" in claims 6-11 does not provide for a positive limitations, it is not clear within the claim if the recited relationship between the layers occurs or not, therefore, the claim is ambiguous.

Regarding claim 16,

It is not clear within the claim language, what applicant intends to claim by the bandgap wavelength of InGaAsP is a bandgap wavelength of InP.

Regarding claims 16,

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a

10/553,161 Art Unit: 2828

exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 16 recites the broad recitation InP or more, and the claim also recites 0.98 or less which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Regarding claim 17,

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 17 recites the broad recitation 0.96 or more, and the claim also recites 0.98 or less which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Application/Control Number:

10/553,161 Art Unit: 2828

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagashima et al (Asymetric-Cladding 1480-nm Pump laser with CW fiber output power 1W) in view of Nishikawa Toru et al (JP 09-289354).

Regarding claims 1-19,

Nagashima et al illustrates in figure 2 a semiconductor laser including a InP substrate, an active layer including a multiquantum well structure having a width of 5 um, an n-type cladding layer made of InGaAsP (Q095), a p-type cladding layer made of InP. The abstract discloses the fundamental lateral mode and single mode fiber coupling. Figure 2 illustrates a mesa shape and InP buried layers.

Nagashima et al is silent as to the width of the active layer being within the range of 7-14 um.

However, coupling a laser beam from a laser with an active layer width within the range of 7-14 um, into an optical fiber is well known in the art, as disclosed by Nishikawa Toru et al in paragraphs [0022-0024].

Regarding claims 4, 5,

Nagashima et al discloses in page 148 second paragraph (Device Structure)

OCL layers made of InGaAsP [applicant's SCH layers].

Application/Control Number:

10/553,161 Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claims 6-11,

In page 148 second paragraph (Device Structure) the OCL layers as being symentric in thickness and figure 2 illustrates a decreasing refractive index of the layers.

Regarding claim 15,

Nagashima et al illustrates in figure 2 the semiconductor laser including a ridge, a contact an electrode.

Nagashima et al does not explicitly describe all the layers of the laser.

However, the use of insulation layers in semiconductor lasers is notoriously well known in the art.

Regarding claims 16, 17,

Page 148 second paragraph discloses the bandgap wavelength as 0.99, 1.08, 1.15 um.

Regarding claims 18, 19,

Nagashima et al illustrates in figure 2 an n-type substrate.

Nagashima et al is silent as to the substrate being a p-type substrate.

However, the use of a n-type substrate or p-type substrate in semiconductor lasers is notoriously well known in the art.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ whose telephone number is 571-272-1952. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

10/553,161

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MINSUN HARVEY can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

AR